

Anthropometric predictors for sexual dimorphism of skulls: A Comparative study

Dr. Sunil Poonia¹, Dr Seema Gupta², Dr. Pooja Choudhary³, Dr. Manoj Verma^{4§}

¹Resident, Department of Anatomy, SMS Medical College, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India.

²Professor, Department of Anatomy, SMS Medical College, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India

³Resident, Department of Microbiology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India

⁴Ex PG Student, Department of Community Medicine, SMS Medical College, Jaipur (Rajasthan) India

Abstract— *Quantitative morphometric measurements with advanced analytical methods are emerging as reliable methods for sex differentiation of skeletal remnants. Aim of present study was to determine the difference in morphometric measurements in male and female skull and to determine the independent predictors and accuracy for sex differentiation using discriminant function analysis. A comparative observational study was conducted using 44 skulls of known sex including 24 skulls of males and 20 skulls of females. Various morphometric parameters are measured and analyzed using univariate, multivariate and discriminant function analysis. Cranial AP length, Bi Zygomatic diameter, Nasal Height, Nasal width and Mastoid length were found to be significantly higher in male skull. Discriminant function analysis predicted correctly the sex of skull with 79.5% accuracy. Morphometric measurement of cranium can be developed as objective method of sex determination with higher accuracy and repeatability and further enhanced by advanced statistical method like discriminant function analysis.*

Keyword: *Sexual Dimorphism, Morphometric Measurements, Skull.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Anthropometry is a Greek word which means measurement of man: *anthropos*-man and *metron*-refers to the measurement. Anthropometry is a science which deals with the measurement of human beings, whether living or dead or of skeletal materials and constitutes a series of systematized measuring techniques of expressing quantitatively the form of the human body and skeleton.¹

Study of human skeleton for sex determination has been a topic of interest among researchers,² as bones of the body are last to perish after death, next to enamel of teeth. Almost all bones of the human skeleton show some degree of sexual dimorphism. Sex of an individual can be identified accurately in 80% of cases using skull alone and in 98% cases using pelvis and skull together. The sex is best assessed from the pelvis but it is very often damaged.³ Skull is the main reliable bone exhibiting sexually dimorphic traits, because skull is highly resistant to adverse environmental conditions over time, resulting in the greater stability of dimorphic features as compared to other skeletal bony pieces.⁴ Skull requires the most frequent sexing in medico legal cases.⁴

In the skull, Mastoid region is one of the most dimorphic traits;^{5,6} the temporal bone is highly resistant to physical damage; thus it is commonly found as remainder in skeletons that are very old; of this, the petrous portion has been described as important for sex determination. Moreover, in case of burning, petrous part of temporal bone is generally preserved because of its compact structure and protected position at the base of skull.^{7,8}

Previous studies by non-metrical methods used morphological traits which were not reliable because these features varied with nutrition, race, geographical regions and observer variation.⁹ Subsequently

trend changed to Quantitative morphometric methods; however no single parameter could differentiate male and female sex with 100% accuracy because of overlap between male & female values. The recent trend is to apply advanced analytical methods to metrical data.¹⁰

Present study aims to determine the difference in morphometric measurements in male and female skull and to determine the independents predictors and accuracy for sex differentiation using discriminant function analysis.

II. METHODOLOGY

A comparative observational study was conducted at Department of Anatomy, SMS Medical College, Jaipur from July 2014 to April 2015. For study purpose 44 dry macerated adult Human skulls of age group above 18-25 years of known sex including 24 skulls of males and 20 skulls of females, were included in which suture between the basiocciput and basisphenoid were united. Skulls with deformity or craniofacial defects were excluded from study. Ethical clearance was taken from the institution's Ethical Committee before initiation of the study. The measurements were taken separately for either side after putting the skull in Frankfurt's Plane (Figure 1). Vernier Calipers were used for measurements of skull. Two readings were taken for each measurement and average of these readings was taken to reduce the error of measurements. All measurements were recorded to the nearest millimeter. Anthropometric parameters used for the study were Maximum cranial length, Maximum cranial breadth, Cranial index, Upper facial height, Bizygomatic diameter, Upper facial index, Nasal height, Nasal width, Nasal index and Mastoid process length.

Figure 1
Measurement of skull in Frankfurt's Plane



Cranial Index was calculated as $(\text{Maximum Cranial Breadth} / \text{Maximum Cranial Length}) \times 100$. Upper facial index was $(\text{Upper Facial Height} / \text{Bizygomatic Breadth}) \times 100$. Nasal index was calculated as $(\text{Upper Facial Height} / \text{Bizygomatic Breadth}) \times 100$.

Statistical analysis: Data was collected using predesigned proforma. Morphometric measurements were expressed as Mean and standard deviation and were analyzed using unpaired 't' test. Multivariate logistic

regression and Discriminant function analysis was done to identify the independent predictors. Sectioning point received by using mean values of both was used to classify the skull into either category. P value <0.05 was considered significant. Statistical software SPSS Trial Package for Windows version 20 was used for data entry, processing and statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to calculate all the relevant variables.

III. RESULTS

A total of 24 male and 20 female skulls were included in study. On statistical analysis Cranial AP length, Bizygomatic diameter, Nasal Height, Nasal width and Mastoid length were found to be significantly higher in male skull as compared to female skull (Table 1).

Table 1
Comparison of various morphometric parameters in male and female skull

S.No.	Morphometric Parameters	Male (N=24)		Female (N=20)		*P Value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
1	Cranial AP Length	18.13	0.7	17.57	0.82	0.019
2	Cranial breadth	12.93	1.22	12.61	0.55	0.285
3	Cranial index	71.38	6.57	71.87	3.96	0.772
4	Upper Facial Height	65.48	4.36	63.85	3.92	0.203
5	Bizygomatic breadth	12.64	0.38	12.24	0.31	<0.001
6	Upper facial index	51.84	3.7	52.18	3.39	0.754
7	Nasal height	49.45	2.40	47.77	2.86	0.040
8	Nasal width	24.82	1.45	23.54	1.97	0.017
9	Nasal index	50.27	3.36	49.41	4.73	0.486
10	Mastoid length right	34.90	2.7	32.59	2.54	0.006
11	Mastoid length left	34.13	3.10	31.21	2.78	0.002

**P value was calculated using unpaired 't' test*

Among these morphological parameters which were found significant on univariate analysis (as in table 1) were then entered in Binary logistic regression (forward wald) model, which found Bizygomatic diameter and Mastoid length left side to be independent predictors for sex determination. (Table 2)

Table 2
Multivariate logistic regression analysis of identified morphometric skull parameters

Parameters	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Bizygomatic diameter	5.212	2.150	5.877	1	.015	183.487
Mastoid length (left)	.487	.201	5.846	1	.016	1.628

On discriminant function analysis (step wise), Bizygomatic diameter and Mastoid length left side were found to predict correctly the sex of skull with 79.5% accuracy (Table 3).

Table 2
Skulls correctly sexed on discriminant function analysis (stepwise)

	Male (N=24)	Females (N=20)	Overall (N=44)
N	20	15	35
Percentage	75%	83.3%	79.5%

Un-standardized canonical discriminant functions evaluated at group means was for Male=0.670 and for female = - 0.804

IV. DISCUSSION

Today many unidentified human remains are sexed through DNA analysis, but a number of situations remain in which morphological approaches are preferred. These situations include cases of genocide or mass fatality where the cost of bio-molecular analysis might be prohibitive, cases of ancient human remains where the material may be too degraded to yield amplifiable DNA, and cases where invasive analysis is impermissible on legal or ethical grounds.

It is necessary to have local data of these parameters since this standard reflects the potentially different pattern of craniofacial growth resulting from racial, ethnic, sexual and dietary differences.¹¹ Present study found most parameters to be higher in male as compared to female skull. Most of the past similar studies like Deshmukh & Devershi et al.¹² (Maharashtra), Passeyet et al.¹³ (Kanpur), Vidya C S et al.¹⁴ (Mysore), Sumati et al.,¹⁵ Farkas et al.¹⁶ (Caucasians), reported different skull morphometric parameters to be higher in male skull on univariate analysis.

Discriminant function analysis method identifies which variables contribute to making the classification, thus it serves as an entirely objective statistical technique for sex determination giving proper weightage to each character.¹⁷ On discriminant function analysis, these parameters predicted sex of skull with 80% accuracy in present study. Previous studies like Giles et al. and Kajanoja P also reported nearly 80% accuracy in sex determination using various morphometric skull parameters.^{17,18} Holland et al. reported discriminant analysis using occipital bone measurements, correctly determined sex with around 80% accuracy.¹⁹

Thieme and Schull²⁰ and Harihara²¹ in linear discriminant function analysis reported a high accuracy of 98.5% and 90% accuracy respectively using various skull morphological parameters. Wescott²² in study of adult crania using occipital bone measurements reported approximately 73% accuracy of sex differentiation on multivariate analysis.

These differences in the overall accuracy reported in various studies could be due to the fact that these parameters are population specific and probably affected by ethnic and racial variations and this also makes it necessary to have local data of these parameters.

V. CONCLUSION

Sex determination using morphometric measurement of cranium provides objectivity with higher accuracy and repeatability and advanced statistical method like discriminant function analysis further enhances it. The parameters like nasal height, nasal width and mastoid process length can be used as predictors to determine gender. Also gender differences in cranial morphology emphasize the significance of applying these data to an individual subject in a given population. Such knowledge is not only applicable to forensic scientists but also in plastic surgery and oral surgery with craniofacial deformity. Results of this study will be of immense use in forensic medicine and anthropology and will also serve as a future framework for estimating the craniofacial dimensions of other Indian population.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared till now.

REFERENCES

- [1] Krishnan K. Anthropometry in Forensic Medicine and Forensic Science – ‘Forensic Anthropometry. The Internet Journal of Forensic Science(2007); 2(1).
- [2] Bilge Y, Kedici PS, Alakoç YD, Ülküer KÜ, and İlkyaz YY. The identification of a dismembered human body: A multidisciplinary approach. *Forensic Sci Int* 2003; 137(2-3): 141-146.
- [3] Phenice TW. A newly developed visual method of sexing the os pubis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 1969; 30: 297-301.
- [4] Standring S, et al. *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice*. Churchill Livingstone and Elsevier; 2008; 40: 419-20.
- [5] Klaatsch H. The Skull of the Australian Aboriginal. *Rep Path Lab Lunacy Dept, N.S.W. Govt.*, 1908, 1(3): 43-167.
- [6] Laranch SL, and Macintosh NWG. The craniology of the aborigines of Coastal New South Wales. *The Oceania Monographs No. 13*. 1966; 43-44.
- [7] Whall J and Henke W. The pars petrosa as diagnostic aid in multivariate biometric sex determination of cremated human remains *Z MorpholAnthropol*1980, 70: 258-68.
- [8] Kalmey JK, and Rathbun TA. Sex determination by discriminant function analysis of petrous portion of temporal bone. *J Forensic Sci* 1996; 41: 865-867.
- [9] Dr. Sunil Poonia, Dr Seema Gupta, Dr. Pooja Choudhary and Dr. Manoj Verma. Sex determination using mastoid process measurements of dry skull bone: A Descriptive Analysis. *International Multispecialty Journal of Health* (2016); 2(10):17-21
- [10] Armitage P: *Statistical methods in medical research*. Oxford, Blackwell; 1971, pp 332-335.
- [11] De Paiva, L. A. & Segre, M. Sexing the human skull through the mastoid process. *Rev. Hosp. Clin. Fac. Med. Sao Paulo*, 58:15-20, 2003.
- [12] Deshmukh AG, Devershi DB. Comparison of cranial sex determination by univariate and multivariate Analysis . *Journal of the Anatomical Society*. 2006; 55(2)
- [13] Passey J et al (2015). Sex determination using mastoid process. *Asian journal of medical sciences*.
- [14] Vidya CS, Prashantha B, Gangadhar MR. Anthropometric Predictors for Sexual Dimorphism of Skulls of South Indian Origin. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. October 2012; 2(10); 1 – 4.
- [15] Sumati Patnaik VVG, and Phatak A. Determination of sex from mastoid process by discriminant function analysis. *J Anat Soc India* 2010; 59(2): 222-228.
- [16] Farkas L.G, Hreczko T.A, Kolar J.C. and Munro I.R., 1989. Vertical and horizontal proportions of the face in adult North American Caucasians: revision of neoclassical canons. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1985 75:328-38.
- [17] Giles E, Elliot O. (1962) Race identification from cranial measurements. *Journal of forensic sciences*. 1962; 7(2):147-157.
- [18] Pauli Kajanoja. Sex determination of Finish crania by discriminant function analysis.*Am.J. Phys.Anthrop.*1966; 24(1):29-33.
- [19] Holland T.D. Sex determination of fragmentary crania by analysis of the cranial base. *Am.J. Phys.Anthrop.* 2005; 70(2):203-08.
- [20] Thieme, Schull. Sex determination from the skeleton. *Human Biology*. 1957; 29(3): 242-73.
- [21] Harihara K. Sex diagnosis of Japanese skull and scapulae by means of discriminant function analysis. *Journal of anthropological society of Nippon*. 1958; 67(722): 21-27.
- [22] Wescott, D.J. Metric variation in the human occipital bone: forensic anthropological applications. *Journal of Forensic Sci.* 2001; 46:1159-63.